

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/990,109	11/21/2001	Randall A. Boudouris	M112.2-10064	2833	
490 7	7590 08/05/2005		EXAMINER		
,	ETT & STEINKRA	PIAZZA CORCORAN, GLADYS JOSEFINA			
6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE SUITE 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNETONK	A, MN 55343-9185		1733		

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/990,109	BOUDOURIS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gladys JP Corcoran	1733		

January Committee Committe	LAMINITE	AILOIIIL				
	Gladys JP Corcoran	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07/f)					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
	cliance with 27 CER 41 27 must be	filad within two manth	o of the data of			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered by				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	Will <u>flot</u> be entered b	ecause			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L Delow),				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ''					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s).			_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17,19-41,60,72,75 and 77-80</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>42 and 45-59</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13. Solution of the distance information bisclosure statement(s): (FFO/35/00 0/ FFO-1445) Faper No(s).						
		Gladys H Corcora	<u> </u>			
		Primary Examiner	· ·			
		Art Unit: 1733				

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The newly added limitations to claim 1 raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration, and raise the issue of new matter.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The arguments are directed to the newly added limitations which are not being entered as discussed above.

Continuation of 13. Other:

It is noted that if filed separately in a separate amendment, the amendments to the Specification would be entered.

The terminal disclaimer filed on May 11, 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of SN 10/274189 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.